Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/695,809	ONISHI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian J. Davis	1621
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Brian J. Davis</u> .	(3)	
(2) Vincent K. Shier.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 February 2005	Time: <u></u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: possible, new 112, second paragraph, rejections of claims 13, 16 and 18		
Claims discussed: 13, 16 and 18		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
•		
BRIAN DAVIS PRIMARY EXAMINER		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	onature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to correct by Examiner's Amendment the structural diagram of formula (1) and to move the "halomethyl lithium" clause such that it now appears immediately before the "acid" clause. That is, that in claims 13 and 18 the "halomethyl lithium" clause becomes the penultimate clause and in claim 16 it becomes the third-to-last clause..